Attorney Docket No. YOR920030340US1

Date: September 30, 2004

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited on this date with the U.S.

Postal Service as first class mail addressed to the Commissioner for Patents,

a durk ATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Patent Application

Applicant(s)

Docket No.:

YOR9200303

Serial No.:

10/685,636

Filing Date:

October 15, 2003

Group:

2811

Examiner:

H.K. Vu

Title:

Techniques for Layer Transfer Processing

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Signature:

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Submitted herewith is a Response to Restriction Requirement relating to the aboveidentified patent application. There is no additional claim fee due in connection with the Response.

In the event of non-payment or improper payment of a required fee, the Commissioner is authorized to charge or to credit IBM Corporation Deposit Account No. 50-0510 as required to correct the error. Duplicate copies of this letter are enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 30, 2004

Michael J. Chang

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 46,611

Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP 1300 Post Road, Suite 205

Fairfield, CT 06824 (203) 255-6560

Date: September 30, 2004

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited on this date with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box



N THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Alexandria, VA 223/13-1450.

Patent Application

Applicants(s):

Bedell et al.

Case:

5

YOR920030340US1

Serial No.:

10/685,636

10 Filing Date:

October 15, 2003

Group:

2811

Examiner:

H.K. Vu

Title:

Techniques for Layer Transfer Processing

15

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

25

30

This is in response to the outstanding Office Action dated September 1, 2004 in the above-identified application. Claims 1-48 are currently pending in the application.

In the outstanding Office Action, the Examiner required restriction of the application to one of the following two groups of claims: Group I, including claims 1-11; and Group II, including claims 12-48.

Applicants hereby elect Group I, that is, claims 1-11, without traverse, for prosecution in this application.

Respectfully submitted,

35

Date: September 30, 2004

Michael J. Chang

Attorney for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 46,611

Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP 1300 Post Road, Suite 205

Fairfield, CT 06430 (203) 255-6560

40